

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 $\alpha$ 

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/931,672	08/17/2001	Ali Jaafar	32221.5	3329	
759	90 12/22/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BRIGGS AND MORGAN			JASTRZAB, JEFFREY R		
2400 IDS Cente Minneapolis, M	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3762	12	
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- 45</del>			
Office Action Summary		09/931,672	JAAFAR ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey R. Jastrzab	3762				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	-			
Period fo	• •	NEDLY IO OET TO EVOIDE OM	ONTHIO FROM				
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on.  In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 September 2003.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
	on Papers						
, —	The specification is objected to by the Exa	<u></u>					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			14/4\			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•		oroign priority under 25 LLC C S	110(a) (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachmen		_					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·			
A 5 1 1 1 1 T	1 0 0						

Application/Control Number: 09/931,672

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The application file indicates that an IDS has been filed in this application, however, ho such paper is in the file. It is requested that a copy of that paper is resubmitted for consideration.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because Figures 1, 2, 4a and 5 contain unlabeled boxes (16) and (100). See 37 CFR 1.83(a). Correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 9 lacks antecedent basis for "the curl".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3762

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Schoenbach et al., US 6,326,177. As to Claim 11, it is inherent that the use of Schoenbach's device would include multiple body treatment sites.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Dorogi et al., US 5,778,894.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 09/931,672

Art Unit: 3762

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoenbach et al., US 6,326,177 in view of Hofmann et al. Schoenbach et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed less the applicator specifics in Claims 3 and 4. Hofmann teaches electroporation applicators including needle and forceps type applicators. To merely substitute any known electric field applicator for the Schoenbach electrodes would have amounted to an obvious choice in design absent any teaching of criticality or unexpected result by Applicant for the use of the specific applicators claimed.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smith, II uses combinations of treatments for fat destruction. Son teaches low frequency pulses for fat reduction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Jastrzab whose telephone number is (703) 308-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday and Friday from 5:30am to 2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angie Sykes, can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 872-9306.

Jeffrey R. Jastrzab Primary Examiner

November 17, 2003